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CONTRIBUTION TO THIS ISSUE

DUDLEY G. WOOTEN is well known to subscribers. He has been a member of the United States Congress, a Judge in the States of Texas and Washington, is a historian of note, and Professor of Law at Notre Dame.

* * *

PRAISE

Co-incident with the publication of "Church and State in Mexico" contributed by Judge Wooten, comes word that the Supreme Court of Tennessee has upheld the famous anti-evolution law. Subscribers to THE NOTRE DAME LAWYER will remember that this affirmance was predicted by Judge Wooten in November, 1925. The following letters from Tennessee Justices are interesting:

Dear Professor Wooten:

We received five copies of your article on the Scopes case and have read the same with much interest.

Permit me to say that you had an accurate conception of the setting of the case, and the views that you expressed concerning it were quite in harmony with those of the majority of the court.

Very truly yours,
GRAFTON GREEN,
Chief Justice
My Dear Sir:

Recently, and since this Court disposed of the case of Scopes vs. The State, I have had the pleasure of reading your comments contributed a year ago to "The Notre Dame Lawyer", and I am writing to express my appreciation. I do not believe I have seen anything published on this subject which I have enjoyed as much. You caught the "atmosphere" of the Dayton trial and accurately sensed the motives behind the prosecution.

I was also struck by the fact that you predicted that this Court would hold as it did with respect to the authority of the Legislature to control the public school curriculum. No doubt you have observed that this Court relied on many of the authorities cited by you, as well as some others. I should be glad to have one or two extra copies of the pamphlet in which your contribution is reprinted.

With best wishes, I am

Very truly yours,

ALEXANDER CHAMBLISS,

Associate Justice